



EXHIBIT C



INVESTING IN THE LAW

Firm Overview



+



“If we desire respect for
the law, we must first
make the law respectable.”

Louis D. Brandeis - 16 October 1912.





WE BELIEVE IN BOTH THE SPIRIT
AND THE LETTER OF THE LAW.



Scott+Scott specializes in the investigation and prosecution of complex actions across the globe – recovering billions for its clients. The Firm has extensive experience litigating securities fraud, antitrust, and other complex cases and is a pioneer in structured finance monitoring for client portfolios. We represent individual, institutional and multinational clients in the U.S and EU courts, offering a one-stop shop for international recoupment.




Scott+Scott was founded in 1975 and began its securities litigation practice in 1997. The Firm has since grown into one of the most respected law firm specializing in the investigation and prosecution of complex actions across the United States and in Europe. Today, it is comprised of over 125 team members, including over 100 highly experienced attorneys, a 30+ paraprofessional team comprised of paralegals and legal assistants, a finance manager, institutional investor liaisons, and other office support staff, in addition to an IT support and development group, financial analysts, forensic accountants, investment consultants, and an in-house investigations department.

Scott+Scott is headquartered in Connecticut and has additional offices in New York, London, Amsterdam, Berlin, California, Virginia, Ohio, and Arizona.

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating securities fraud, antitrust, and other complex cases on behalf of our institutional and individual clients throughout the United States, serving as lead counsel in numerous securities class actions since the enactment of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA") and as lead and co-lead counsel in antitrust, consumer, and other complex litigation. The Firm also represents many multinational corporations in foreign jurisdiction litigation in the EU courts.

Scott+Scott's attorneys are recognized experts and leaders in securities monitoring, complex litigation, and corporate governance law. They regularly speak at institutional investor educational conferences around the world and before boards of directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments. Scott+Scott attorneys educate institutional investors and governmental entities on the importance of fulfilling fiduciary obligations through the adoption of appropriate asset recovery services, as well as through the development and enforcement of corporate governance initiatives.


Scott+Scott has been a pioneer in structured finance monitoring of our clients' portfolios and the Firm's vast experience in structured debt financial litigation has enabled us to provide clients with in-depth monitoring of their structured finance products. Structured-finance






products, like asset-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations, attract investors with high returns relative to other fixed-income instruments. However, those returns can come with substantial undisclosed risks due to investors' limited ability to assess what they are actually acquiring. Most investors cannot review the assets that underlie securitizations, nor negotiate around the boiler-plate terms that govern securitizations, and have very little control over the parties that administer securitizations.

Scott+Scott's portfolio monitoring service responds to these unique risks. Importantly, the Firm does not just track the performance of our clients' structured-finance positions. We analyze it in the context of other data that allows us to understand what drives losses, should any occur. Initially, Scott+Scott conducts a review of the overall record of the parties that issue and administer our clients' structured-finance investments, such as sponsors, servicers, and trustees. The conduct of those parties reveals whether a securitization is suffering from hidden flaws, such as defective underlying assets, for which investors should be compensated. In addition, Scott+Scott reviews securitizations' asset-level performance and their governing agreements to identify any specific instances of those parties breaching their obligations and harming investors. This comprehensive approach enables Scott+Scott to identify hard-to-spot wrongdoing and hold the appropriate parties responsible, ultimately winning significant recoveries for clients who purchased structured-finance products. The Firm has also evaluated and monitored debt and debentures originating from private placements and non-public companies, including municipal bonds and derivatives for our clients.





ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Scott+Scott represents investors, businesses, and consumers in price-fixing, bid-rigging, monopolization, and other restraints of trade cases on both a class-wide and individual basis. The Firm's work for its clients helps ensure that markets remain free, open, and competitive.

Scott+Scott has been recognized by the American Antitrust Institute with an Outstanding Antitrust Litigation Achievement in Private Law Practice award in 2020, 2018, and an honorable mention in 2014. In addition, the 2018 Antitrust Annual Report found that in 2018, the Firm had the highest antitrust settlement in the country, as well as ranking first nationally in aggregate settlement amount from 2013 to 2018, recovering over \$3.4 billion. Scott+Scott's dedicated team of antitrust partners have built one of the nation's top plaintiffs' firms for antitrust actions. Furthermore, our European expansion reflects a commitment and ability to pursue claims on a global basis.



Antitrust CASE EXAMPLES

Antitrust actions in which Scott+Scott currently serves as a lead or co-lead counsel include:

- *In re: Foreign Exch. Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litig.*, No. 13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing of foreign exchange rates; over **\$2.3 billion in final-approved settlements**).
- **The largest antitrust settlement of 2018 according to the American Antitrust Institute;**
- *In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litig.*, No. 15-md-02626 (M.D. Fla.) (class action alleging illegal anticompetitive policies to eliminate discount pricing by the major manufacturers and distributors of disposable contact lenses);
- *In re European Gov't Bonds Antitrust Litig.*, No. 19-cv-02601 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging manipulation in the market for European Government Bonds);
- *In re ICE LIBOR Antitrust Litig.*, No. 19-cv-02002 (S.D.N.Y.) (class action alleging anticompetitive conduct in the setting of the ICE LIBOR benchmark rate);
- *Deslandes v. McDonald's USA, LLC*, No. 17-cv-04857 (N.D. Ill.) (class action challenging no-hire agreement among McDonald's franchisees);
- *Butler v. Jimmy John's Franchise, LLC*, No. 18-cv-00133 (S.D. Ill.) (class action challenging no-hire agreement among Jimmy John's franchisees); and
- *Blanton v. Domino's Pizza Franchising LLC*, No. 18-cv-13207 (E.D. Mich.) (class action challenging no-hire agreement among Domino's franchisees).

Antitrust cases in which Scott+Scott previously served as lead or co-lead counsel include:

- *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) (challenging bid rigging and market allocation of leveraged buyouts by private equity firms; **\$590.5 million in settlements**);
- *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Bank of Am. Corp.*, No. 14-cv-07126 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing of the ISDAfix benchmark interest rate; **\$504.5 million in settlements**).
- **The 3rd largest antitrust settlement of 2018 according to the American Antitrust Institute;**
- *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litig.*, No. 19-cv-01704 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging manipulation in the market for bonds issued by Government-Sponsored Entities; e.g., Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae; **\$386 million settlement**);


- *In re Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1891 (C.D. Cal.) (challenging price-fixing/illegal surcharge of ticket prices; **\$86 million in cash and travel voucher settlements**); and
- *Mylan Pharms., Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Pub. Ltd. Co.*, No. 12-cv-03824 (E.D. Pa.) (challenging monopolization in the sale of name-brand pharmaceutical on behalf of indirect purchaser class; **\$8 million settlement**).

The Firm has aided in the recovery for class members by serving on the executive leadership committees in numerous other class action litigation, including:

- *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merch. Discount Antitrust Litig.*, No. 05-md-01720 (E.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing in the payment cards industry; **\$6.24 billion settlement** (preliminarily approved));
- *Kleen Products LLC v. Int'l Paper Co.*, No. 10-cv-05711 (N.D. Ill.) (challenging price-fixing of containerboard products; over **\$376 million in settlements**);
- *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig.*, No. 13-md-02420 (N.D. Cal.) (challenging price-fixing of lithium-ion batteries on behalf of indirect purchaser class; over **\$113 million in settlements**); and
- *In re Mexican Gov't Bonds Antitrust Litig.*, No. 18-cv-02830 (S.D.N.Y.) (an antitrust class action by eight institutional investors prosecuting 10 global financial institutions for colluding to fix the prices of debt securities issued by the Mexican Government between 2006 and 2017).

Scott+Scott's class action antitrust experience includes serving as co-trial counsel in:

- *In re Scrap Metal Antitrust Litig.*, No. 02-cv-00844 (N.D. Ohio) (helped obtain a **\$34.5 million jury verdict**, which was subsequently affirmed by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit); and
- *Ross v. Bank of Am. N.A.*, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) (bench trial involving agreement among payment cards to impose arbitration terms on cardholders).





SECURITIES & CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Scott+Scott's practice is principally focused on securities class action and corporate governance litigation aimed at recovering investment and other losses for institutional and individual investors who have suffered from corporate securities fraud. The Firm's services begin with investigating and identifying potential violations of law adversely affecting overall fund values for our clients. When appropriate, Scott+Scott prosecutes actions on a class or individual basis. Through our commitment to the best interests of those the Firm represents, Scott+Scott has successfully obtained exceptional monetary results and precedent-setting corporate governance reforms on behalf of investors.





Securities CASE EXAMPLES

It is this Court's position that Scott+Scott did a superlative job in its representation....and demonstrated a remarkable grasp and handling of the extraordinarily complex matters in this case. The extremely professional and thorough means by which NYU's counsel has litigated this matter has not been overlooked by this Court. They have possessed a knowledge of the issues presented and this knowledge has always been used to benefit all investors.

The Honorable Richard B. Lowe III, New York Supreme Court
New York University v. Ariel Fund Ltd. ruling - 29 September 2009

Representative cases prosecuted by Scott+Scott under the federal securities laws include:

- *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Pharmacia Corp.*, No. 03-cv-01519 (D.N.J.) (\$164 million settlement);
- *In re Priceline.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 00-cv-01884 (D. Conn.) (\$80 million settlement);
- *Irvine v. ImClone Sys., Inc.*, No. 02-cv-00109 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million settlement);
- *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Grp.*, No. 08-cv-03758 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$70 million settlement);
- *Pollicemen's Annuity & Benefit Fund of Chi. v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, No. 12-cv-02865 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$69 million settlement);
- *In re SanDisk LLC Sec. Litig.*, No. 15-cv-01455 (N.D. Cal.) (\$50 million settlement);
- *Weston v. FCS Capital Corp.*, No. 14-cv-10136 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$31 million settlement);

Representative shareholder derivative actions prosecuted by Scott+Scott include:


- *In re DaVita Healthcare Partners Deriv. Litig.*, No. 13-cv-01308 (D. Colo.) (corporate governance reforms valued at \$100 million);
- *Buffalo Grove Police Pension Fund v. Defender*, No. 19-cv-00062 (E.D. Pa.) (settlement of claims against officers and directors of Navient Corp. providing for corporate governance reforms valued at \$139 million);
- *Tharp v. Acacia Commc'ns, Inc.*, No. 1:17-cv-11504 (D. Mass.) (settlement of claims against Acacia Communications, Inc. and its officers and directors providing for corporate governance reforms valued at \$57 to \$71 million);
- *N. Miami Beach Gen. Empls. Ret. Fund v. Parkinson*, No. 10-cv-06514 (N.D. Ill.) (corporate governance reforms valued between \$50 and \$60 million);
- *In re Marvell Tech. Grp. Ltd. Deriv. Litig.*, No. 06-cv-03894 (N.D. Cal.) (\$54.9 million settlement and corporate governance reforms);
- *In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc.*, No. 01-cv-01451 (D. Colo.) (\$25 million settlement and corporate governance reforms);



NON-TRADITIONAL RECOVERY MONITORING

Scott+Scott monitors clients' portfolios to ensure that public funds can recover assets when recourse under the "traditional" securities laws is unavailable. Increasingly, clients are suffering losses from conduct that is not subject to the federal securities laws, for example, when banks conspire to manipulate the operation of the financial markets. Federal courts have appointed the Firm to leadership positions in antitrust class cases relating to anticompetitive conduct to manipulate the foreign currency market, the LIBOR rate, and the ISDAfix rate. In these instances, a fund needs to have a system in place that monitors for these types of situations and enables trustees to make informed decisions on the "non-traditional" remedies available to protect their fund's interests. Such a system requires monitoring counsel to have an in-depth understanding of the U.S. antitrust laws, foreign laws and procedures, and securitization issues. Scott+Scott is on the forefront of obtaining substantial recoveries for its fund clients through the use of these "non-traditional" methods.





“OPT OUT” LITIGATION


In addition to its class action work, Scott+Scott also represents clients in opt-out antitrust litigation. The Firm's success in class actions allows it to provide its opt-out clients unique and valuable insights. Representative clients include Parker Hannifin Corporation, PolyOne Corporation, Eastman Kodak Company, and Fujifilm Manufacturing U.S.A., Inc., in the following matters:

- *In re: Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 2481 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1648 (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re Polychloroprene Rubber (CR) Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1642 (D. Conn.); and
- *In re Plastic Additives Antitrust Litig.* (No. II), MDL No. 1684 (E.D. Pa.).



CONSUMER LITIGATION

Scott+Scott's Consumer Practice Group consists of some of the premier advocates in the area of consumer protection and has been at the forefront in litigating and securing some of the most significant consumer protection settlements on behalf of its clients, resulting in hundreds of millions of dollars to class members. The Firm's Consumer Practice Group has attorneys dedicated to three primary areas: Data Breach/Data Privacy Litigation, Healthcare and Pharmaceutical Litigation, and Consumer Protection Litigation.



DATA BREACH/DATA PRIVACY LITIGATION

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating data privacy and data breach class actions advancing cutting-edge legal theories. The Firm has achieved some of the largest recoveries in this area and currently serves in a leadership capacity in a number of data privacy and data breach class actions, including:

- *In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-02800 (N.D. Ga.) (claims on behalf of financial institutions injured as a result of the 2017 Equifax data breach that exposed the personal and financial information of approximately 150 million U.S. consumers; preliminary approval of settlement valued at \$32.5 million);
- *In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation*, No. 5:19-cv-04286 (N.D. Cal.) (class action on behalf of consumers alleging privacy violations whereby Google Assistant records and discloses their private confidential communications without consent);
- *Lopez v. Apple Inc.*, No. 4:19-cv-04577 (N.D. Cal.) (class action on behalf of consumers and their minor children alleging privacy violations by Apple through its Siri application); and
- *In re: American Medical Collection Agency, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 2:19-md-02904 (D.N.J.) (claims on behalf of consumers involving data breach of personal information).

Recently, in settling a class action against The Wendy's Co. involving a breach of personal and financial information, the court, in approving the \$50 million dollar settlement, noted that Scott+Scott and its attorneys demonstrated "very significant experience in these types of class actions and in data breach litigation" and that the attorneys "brought to the table an incredible wealth of knowledge, was always prepared, really was thorough and professional in everything that was provided to the Court." *First Choice Federal Credit Union v. The Wendy's Co.*, No. 2:16-cv-00506, Transcript at 32 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 6, 2019).

REPRESENTATIVE DATA BREACH/DATA PRIVACY CASES

Additional data privacy and data breach settlements achieved by Scott+Scott for its clients include:

- *The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.) (co-lead counsel; \$27.25 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach and theft of the personal and financial information of over 40 million credit and debit card holders);
- *In re Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.) (\$59 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions injured by the theft of sensitive payment card information);

- *Greater Chautauqua Federal Credit Union v. Kmart Corporation*, No. 1:15-cv-02228 (N.D. Ill.) (settlement valued at \$13.4 million on behalf of financial institutions injured by the theft of sensitive payment card information); and

- *WinSouth Credit Union v. Mapco Express, Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-01573 (M.D. Tenn.) (largest per dollar per card recovery involving payment card data breach brought on behalf of a class of financial institutions).

INSURANCE AND PHARMACEUTICAL LITIGATION

Scott+Scott represents consumers and health and welfare funds throughout the United States who have been overcharged in connection with their insurance and pharmaceutical transactions. The Firm currently serves in a leadership capacity in a number of insurance and pharmaceutical class actions, including:

- *Sohmer v. UnitedHealth Group Inc.*, No. 0:18-cv-03191 (D. Minn.) (co-lead counsel; claims on behalf of plan participants alleging overcharge for prescription drug copayments);
- *Negron v. Cigna Corporation*, No. 3:16-cv-01702 (D. Conn.) (chair of executive committee; claims on behalf of plan participants alleging overcharge for prescription drug copayments);
- *Forth v. Waigreen Co, Inc.*, No. 1:17-cv-02246 (N.D. Ill.) (class action on behalf of consumers and third party union benefit funds alleging unlawful overcharges for medically necessary prescription drugs); and
- *Stafford v. Rite Aid Corporation*, No. 3:17-cv-01340 (S.D. Cal.) (class action on behalf of consumers who were overcharged for prescription drug claims).

REPRESENTATIVE INSURANCE AND PHARMACEUTICAL CASES

Scott+Scott have significant experience litigating against insurance companies and pharmaceutical manufacturers. The Firm's lawyers have obtained some of the largest settlements in consumer healthcare litigation, including:

- *In re Managed Care Litig.*, MDL No. 1334 (S.D. Fla.) (settlements with Aetna, CIGNA, Prudential, Health Net, Humana, and WellPoint providing monetary and injunctive benefits exceeding \$1 billion); and
- *In re Prudential Ins. Co. SGLI/IVGLI Contract Litigation*, MDL No. 2208 (D. Mass.) (\$40 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of military service members and their families who had purchased insurance contracts).



CONSUMER PROTECTION LITIGATION

Scott+Scott has been at the forefront in prosecuting consumer protection actions against organizations engaging in unfair practices. The Firm currently serves in a leadership capacity in a number of consumer protection class actions, including:

- *Aquilina v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London*, No. 1:18-cv-00496 (D. Haw.) (representing Hawaii homeowners who were placed into insurance excluding lava coverage and suffered devastating losses as a result of the 2018 eruption of Kilauea); and
- *Morris v. Apple, Inc.*, No. 5:20-cv-04812 (N.D. Cal.) (class action on behalf of consumers who purchased iTunes gift cards under false pretenses and were not refunded the value of the iTunes gift cards).

Representative Consumer Protection Cases:

Over the past decade, Scott+Scott has litigated a number of diverse cases and fought for rights of consumers to be treated fairly and equitably. The Firm has achieved significant settlements that have protected consumers' rights and recovered substantial monetary benefits, including:

- *The Vulcan Society, Inc. v. The City of New York*, No. 1:07-cv-02067 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$100 million settlement and significant injunctive relief was obtained for a class of black applicants who sought to be New York City firefighters, but were denied or delayed employment due to racial discrimination);
- *In re Provident Financial Corp. Credit Card Terms Litigation*, MDL No. 1301 (E.D. Pa.) (\$105 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of credit card holders who were charged excessive interest and late charges on their credit cards);
- *In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2086 (W.D. Mo.) (\$37 million settlement obtained on behalf of class of propane purchasers who alleged defendants overcharged the class for under-filled propane tanks);
- *Murr v. Capital One Bank (USA)*, N.A., No. 1:13-cv-01091 (E.D. Va.) (\$7.3 million settlement pending on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest credit card offers); and
- *Gunther v. Capital One, N.A.*, No. 2:09-cv-02966 (E.D.N.Y.) (settlement resulting in class members receiving 100% of their damages in case alleging consumers were improperly charged undeliverable mail fees).



INTERNATIONAL LITIGATION

Since the Supreme Court's decision in *Morrison v. Nat'l Austl. Bank Ltd.*, No. 08-1191 (2010), pension funds are seeing increased monitoring activity in foreign jurisdiction litigation. United States funds are making increased investments in foreign companies trading on foreign exchanges, including the emerging markets. These companies are not immune to suffering the effects of fraud. Again, a fund needs to have a system in place to ensure that it learns about these situations and has an action plan to make sure that assets are protected. In the context of a foreign company, this is particularly important given changes in the U.S. securities laws. Notably, many foreign jurisdictions require funds to take affirmative steps to participate in foreign cases and recover losses.

Scott+Scott has experience in foreign jurisdiction litigation and can help funds navigate that process, so funds have the ability to participate, if they choose, and recover losses. The Firm is able to monitor and evaluate cases filed in non-U.S. jurisdictions and evaluate our clients' ability to participate in those cases. The Firm is currently litigating and evaluating cases in Europe, as well as Australia and Canada. However, because many foreign jurisdictions require plaintiffs to "opt-in" from the onset of the litigation, it usually requires participation at the beginning of an action to participate in any recovery.



SCOTT+SCOTT LONDON



Scott+Scott's London office specializes in pursuing violations of European securities and antitrust laws. The Firm's European clients are primarily multinational corporations who have suffered losses across a number of jurisdictions. Scott+Scott London works closely with the Firm's U.S. and Amsterdam-based offices to deliver integrated multi-jurisdictional strategies.

Because its legal team is highly experienced in assessing business cartel damages Scott+Scott London has been retained by several large international companies to recover losses caused by a decade-long and worldwide business cartel to fix the prices and allocate markets in the cables sector.

Additionally, Scott+Scott London is acting for Michael O'Higgins FX Class Representative in bringing a collective action in the U.K.'s Competition Appeal Tribunal on behalf of victims of the foreign exchange cartel in Europe. The action is brought against five of the world's leading banks (Barclays, Citibank, JPMorgan, RBS, and UBS) and is one of only a handful of actions brought using the U.K.'s new regime for class actions for victims of breaches of competition law.

Scott+Scott has also brought over 20 claims in London's High Court against Visa and MasterCard in relation to their anticompetitive multilateral interchange fees, acting for multinational retailers, U.K. household names, and several hotel chains. Scott+Scott London is also working closely with the Firm's U.S.-based offices for Kodak in litigation in the U.K. and United States in relation to anticompetitive conduct involving aluminium warehousing.






SCOTT+SCOTT AMSTERDAM

In 2019, Scott+Scott opened the Firm's Amsterdam office. The Netherlands has a robust litigation regime and is a premier jurisdiction for private enforcement cases. Its courts are increasingly friendly to parties seeking damages from price fixing and other forms of wrongdoing. The Netherlands also offers a mechanism for settling cases on a global basis. U.S. investors can now seek compensation for losses outside the United States without having to engage third-party Dutch law firms.

Our presence there provides our clients, whether headquartered in the US or Europe, a one-stop shop for global recoupment. In the wake of billions of dollars of recent fines imposed by the EU antitrust regulator, Scott+Scott Amsterdam is currently reviewing claims on behalf of multi-national corporations against the world's largest truck-makers, including MAN, DAF, Daimler, Iveco, and Volvo/Renault, for colluding to coordinate prices and pass on the costs of emission-reducing technologies.






SCOTT+SCOTT BERLIN

Scott+Scott Berlin opened in November 2020 to be led by private enforcement expert Markus Hutschneider who joined the firm from Deutsche Bahn, where he headed the multinational company's team of lawyers in the competition litigation unit. Hutschneider has worked on several high profile cases involving the German rail track cartel, the air cargo cartel, and the trucks cartel. He also has extensive experience negotiating out-of-court settlements.

Germany is one of the leading European markets for manufacturing and business and one of the top jurisdictions for corporations and other large clients seeking to recover their losses from price-fixing cartels and other wrongdoing. Opening the Berlin office further enhances the firm's ability to be out in front of the developing antitrust and regulatory environment in Germany and in the EU, allowing Scott+Scott to better serve its multinational clients' complex litigation needs, including for cartel damages claims. Scott+Scott Berlin is currently advising large clients on metal packaging in light of commission investigation.



INVESTIGATIONS

Our internal investigations unit is unmatched in its sophistication and ability to identify, connect with, and obtain vital information from witnesses. The Firm's in-house investigative department is led by attorney Alex Vargas, who has over a decade of legal and investigative experience. Under Vargas' direction, investigators employ public record databases, in-person interviews, and forensic IT to unravel complex cases, discover critical "evidence" and deliver actionable intelligence. The team works seamlessly with a robust, international network of boots-on-the-ground investigative experts to navigate the privacy and banking laws of different jurisdictions and deliver the facts from anywhere in the world.

The team's investigations have contributed to recoveries in excess of \$3.2 billion on behalf of aggrieved investors, including, notably, their efforts in identifying, interviewing, and working with key witnesses, as well as obtaining critical evidentiary materials, leading to the largest trial settlement in the securities fraud arena (**\$2.46 billion in *Lawrence E. Jaffe Pension Plan v. Household Int'l Inc.*, No. 02-cv-05893 (N.D. Ill. 2006)**).

Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Vargas served as the Director of Litigation Support at a boutique investigation firm in New York and earlier, was employed by an investigation firm handling securities fraud cases exclusively. Mr. Vargas received both his B.A. and J.D. from the University of San Diego and is licensed to practice law in New York, California, and the District of Columbia.





WORLD-CLASS ATTORNEYS



We pride ourselves on the caliber of legal talent on our team. In addition to some of the best and brightest rising stars, we have attorneys who have served with distinction in the U.S. Department of Justice, been admitted to the U.S. Supreme Court, served in OAGs at the state level, argued before the UK's CAT and High Courts, and received virtually every accolade offered in our profession.

DAVID R. SCOTT

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Managing Partner David R. Scott represents multinational corporations, hedge funds, and institutional investors in high-stakes, complex litigation, including antitrust, commercial, and securities actions.

EDUCATION

New York University School of Law (LL.M. in taxation); Temple University School of Law (J.D., Moot Court Board, 1989); St. Lawrence University (B.A., cum laude, 1986)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Scott is the Managing Partner of Scott+Scott with offices in New York, London, Amsterdam, Berlin, California, Connecticut, Virginia, Arizona and Ohio.

In addition to managing the firm's lawyers worldwide, Mr. Scott advises some of the world's largest multinational corporations in cartel damages and other complex matters. He has been retained to design corporate policies for the global recoupment of losses, and transatlantic private enforcement programs.

He currently represents multinational companies and hedge funds in cases involving, among other things, price-fixing in the trucks, foreign exchange, high voltage power cables, cardboard, and payment card sectors.

Mr. Scott's antitrust cases in the United States have resulted in significant recoveries for victims of price-fixing cartels. Among other cases, Mr. Scott served as co-lead counsel in *Dahl v Bain Capital Partners*, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), an action alleging that the largest private equity firms in the United States colluded to suppress prices that shareholders received in leveraged buyouts and that the defendants recently **agreed to settle for \$590.5 million**. He was lead counsel in *Red Lion Medical Safety v. Ohmeda*, No. 06-cv-1010 (E.D. Cal.), a lawsuit alleging that Ohmeda, one of the leading manufacturers of medical anesthesia equipment in the United States, excluded independent service organizations from the market for servicing its equipment. The case was successfully resolved in settlement negotiations before trial.

Mr. Scott has received widespread recognition for his antitrust and competition law work. He has been elected to **Who's Who Legal: Competition 2015- 2020**, which lists the world's top antitrust and competition law lawyers, selected based on comprehensive, independent survey work with both general counsel and lawyers in private practice around the world. He has also received a **highly recommended ranking by Benchmark Litigation for each of the years 2013-2015**.

In addition to his extensive competition law work, Mr. Scott has also taken the lead in bringing claims on behalf of institutional investors, such as sovereign wealth funds, corporate pension schemes, and public employee retirement funds. For example, he has been retained to pursue losses against mortgaged-backed securities trustees for failing to protect investors. He also represented a consortium of regional banks in litigation relating to toxic auction rate securities ("ARS") and obtained a sizable recovery for the banks in a confidential settlement. This case represents one of the few ARS cases in the country to be successfully resolved in favor of the plaintiffs.

Mr. Scott is frequently quoted in the press, including in publications such as *The Financial Times*, *The Guardian*, *The Daily Telegraph*, *The Wall Street Journal*, and *Law360*. He is regularly invited to speak at conferences around the world and before Boards of Directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments.

CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Christopher M. Burke chairs Scott+Scott's competition practice and sets the firm's litigation standards.

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin (M.A. 1989; J.D. 1993; Ph.D. 1996); William & Mary (M.A. 1988); The Ohio State University (B.A. 1984)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Burke currently sits as a partner in the firm's San Diego and New York offices with a principal practice in complex antitrust litigation, particularly in the financial services industry. He has served as lead counsel in some of the world's largest financial services antitrust matters, including *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litigation*, No. 19-cv-01704 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$386.5 million settlement). Currently, he is co-lead counsel in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$2.3 billion settlement); *In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:15-md-2626 (M.D. Fla.); and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corp.*, No. 14-cv-7126 (S.D.N.Y.) (SDA-fix litigation) (\$504.5 million settlement).

He has served as co-lead counsel in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) (\$590.5 million settlement); *Axiom Investment Advisors, LLC, by and through its Trustee, Gildor Management LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC*, No. 15-cv-09323 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$50 million settlement); *In re Currency Conversion Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$336 million settlement); *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merchant Discount*

Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1720 (E.D.N.Y.) (subsequently as an executive committee member after joining Scott+Scott) (up to \$6.24 billion settlement); *LiPuma v. American Express Co.*, No. 1:04-cv-20314 (S.D. Fla.) (\$90 million settlement); and was one of the trial counsel in *Schwartz v. Visa*, No. 822505-4 (Alameda Cty. Super. Ct.) (\$780 million plaintiffs judgment after six months of trial); and *In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1030 (M.D. Fla.) (\$90 million settlement with final settlements occurring during trial). Mr. Burke was one of the original lawyers in the Wholesale Elec. Antitrust cases in California, which settled for over \$1 billion.

Further, Mr. Burke was trial counsel in *Ross v. Bank of America M.A.*, No. 05-cv-7116, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Ross v. American Express Co.*, No. 04-cv-5723, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.). He was also co-lead counsel for indirect purchasers in *In re Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1891 (C.D. Cal.) (\$86 million settlement), and *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of America SGLI/IVGLI Contract Litigation*, No. 11-md-2208 (D. Mass.) (\$40 million settlement). Mr. Burke also investigated and filed the first complaint in *In re Credit Default Swaps Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-md-2476 (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Burke frequently lectures at professional conferences and CLEs on competition matters, including litigation surrounding financial benchmarks, class-barring arbitration clauses, the effects of Twombly in 12(b)(6) motions, and the increasing use of experts at class certification and trial. The American Antitrust Institute ("AAI") honored Christopher Burke and Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law with an Outstanding Antitrust Litigation Achievement in Private Law Practice award at their 2018 Antitrust Enforcement Awards for efforts in the ISDA/IX litigation. In 2014, he was also recognized for his exemplary work in the Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners matter by the AAI and has regularly been designated as a Super Lawyer by Thomson Reuters.

Mr. Burke has also served as an Assistant Attorney General at the Wisconsin Department of Justice and has lectured on law-related topics, including constitutional law, law and politics, and civil rights at the State University of New York at Buffalo and at the University of Wisconsin. Mr. Burke's book, *The Appearance of Equality: Racial Gerrymandering, Redistricting, and the Supreme Court* (Greenwood, 1999), examines conflicts over voting rights and political representation within the competing rhetoric of communitarian and liberal strategies of justification.

Mr. Burke co-authored an article with Stephanie A. Hackett, David W. Mitchell, Simon J. Wilke, Melanie Stallings Williams, Michael A. Williams, and Wei Zhao, *Masters of the Universe: Bid Rigging by Private Equity Firms in Multibillion Dollar LBOs*, 87 U. Cin. L. Rev. 29 (2018).

JOSEPH P. GUGLIELMO PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Joseph P. Guglielmo represents institutional and individual clients in antitrust, consumer and securities litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States.

EDUCATION

Catholic University of America (J.D., 1995; B.A., cum laude, 1992; Certificate of Public Policy)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Guglielmo is a partner in the firm's New York office and was recognized for his efforts representing New York University in obtaining a monumental temporary restraining order of over \$200 million from a Bernard Madoff feeder fund. Specifically, New York State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Lowe III stated, "Scott+Scott has demonstrated a remarkable grasp and handling of the extraordinarily complex matters in this case. The extremely professional and thorough means by which NYU's counsel has litigated this matter has not been overlooked by this Court."

Mr. Guglielmo serves in a leadership capacity in a number of complex antitrust and consumer actions, including: *In Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-2800 (N.D. Ga.), co-lead counsel, claims on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of personal and financial information of approximately 150 million consumers, *Arkansas Federal Credit Union v. Hudson Bay*, No. 1:19-cv-4492-PKG (S.D.N.Y.), lead counsel, claims on behalf of financial institutions arising out of data breaches; *Forth v. Walgreen Co, Inc.*, No. 1:17-cv-02246 (N.D. Ill.), lead counsel, asserting claims on behalf of class of consumers and third-party payers alleging overcharge for medically necessary, covered prescription drugs; *Sohmer v. UnitedHealth Group Inc.*, No. 18-cv-03191 (JNE/BRT) (D. Minn.); co-lead counsel, claims on behalf of plan participants alleging overcharge for copayments; *Negron v. Cigna Corporation*, No. 3:16-cv-1702 (WWE) (D. Conn.) (chair of executive committee, claims on behalf of plan participants involving overcharge of copayments for prescription drugs); *In re: Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:15-md-2626 (M.D. Fla.), co-lead counsel, claims on behalf of a class of contact lens purchasers alleging violations of the antitrust laws; *In re: American Airlines Federal Credit Union v. Sonic Corp.*, No., CIV-19-208G (N.D. Ohio.), Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, claims on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of financial information of approximately five million consumers; and *In re: American Medical Collection Agency, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 19-md-2904 (D.N.J.), Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, claims on behalf of consumers involving data breach of personal information.

Mr. Guglielmo is also actively involved in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:13-cv-07789-LGS (S.D.N.Y.), which involves claims on behalf of purchasers of foreign exchange instruments alleging violations of federal antitrust laws.

Mr. Guglielmo has achieved significant victories and obtained numerous settlements for his clients. Mr. Guglielmo was co-lead counsel in *In re The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.), where a \$27.25 million settlement was obtained on behalf of financial institutions involving a data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of over 40 million credit and debit card holders. Mr. Guglielmo is counsel in *First Choice Federal Credit Union v. The Wendy's Company*, No. 16-cv-00506 (W.D. Pa.), where a \$50 million settlement was obtained. He is also co-lead counsel in *Veridian Credit Union v. Eddie Bauer LLC*, No. 2:17-CV-00356-JLR (W.D. Wa.), where a settlement valued at approximately \$9.8 million was recently obtained. Previously, Mr. Guglielmo was also a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.), where a \$59 million settlement was obtained on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of personal and financial information of approximately 110 million credit and debit cardholders. Mr. Guglielmo was also lead counsel in *Winsouth Credit Union v. Mapco Express Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-1573 (M.D. Tenn.), which achieved the largest dollar-per-card recovery on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of credit and debit card information. Mr. Guglielmo was one of the principals involved in the litigation and settlement of *In re Managed Care Litigation*, MDL No. 1334 (S.D. Fla.), which included settlements with Aetna, CIGNA, Prudential, Health Net, Humana, and WellPoint, providing monetary and injunctive benefits exceeding \$1 billion.

Additional cases in which Mr. Guglielmo played a leading role and obtained substantial recoveries for his clients include: *Love v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Ass'n*, No. 03-cv-21296 (S.D. Fla.), which resulted in settlements of approximately \$130 million and injunctive benefits valued in excess of \$2 billion; *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1897 (D.N.J.), settlements in excess of \$180 million; *Valle v. Popular Community Bank*, No. 653936/2012 (N.Y. Supreme Ct.), \$5.2 million settlement on behalf of consumers; *In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2086 (W.D. Mo.), consumer settlements in excess of \$40 million; *Bassman v. Union Pacific Corp.*, No. 97-cv-02819 (N.D. Tex.), \$35.5 million securities class action settlement; *Garcia v. Carrion*, No. CV 11-1801 (D.P.R.), substantial corporate governance reforms; *Boilermakers National Annuity Trust Fund v. WalMart Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates*, No. 09-cv-00037 (W.D. Wash.), \$26 million securities class action settlement. *Murr*

v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., No. 13-cv-1091 (E.D. Va.), \$7.3 million settlement pending on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest offers, and *Howerton v. Cargill, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-00336 (D. Haw.), \$6.1 million settlement obtained on behalf of class of consumers who purchased Truvia, purported to be deceptively marketed as "all-natural." Mr. Guglielmo was the principle litigator and obtained a significant opinion from the Hawaii Supreme Court in *Hawaii Medical Association v. Hawaii Medical Service Association*, 113 Hawaii 77 (Haw. 2006), reversing the trial court's dismissal and clarifying rights for consumers under the state's unfair competition law. Mr. Guglielmo lectures on electronic discovery and was a member of the Steering Committee of Working Group 1 of the Sedona Conference®, an organization devoted to providing guidance and information concerning issues such as discovery and production issues, as well as areas focusing on antitrust law, complex litigation, and intellectual property, and a member of the drafting team responsible for the Sedona Principles, Third Edition. Presently, Mr. Guglielmo serves on the board of the Advanced eDiscovery Institute at Georgetown University Law Center. He is a frequent speaker on electronic discovery issues. Mr. Guglielmo was also recognized for his achievements in litigation by his selection to *The National Law Journals' Plaintiffs' Hot List.* In 2020, Mr. Guglielmo was recognized by *Super Lawyers* as a top Antitrust lawyer in the New York metro area, was named by *Who's Who in Legal Litigation: Leading Practitioner-E-Discovery* (2020), and was named by *Lawdragon* as one of the 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers.

Mr. Guglielmo is also a member of the following associations: District of Columbia Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, and American Bar Association.

THOMAS K. BOARDMAN PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Thomas K. Boardman's practice focuses on antitrust litigation.

ADMISSIONS

United States Courts of Appeal: Second and Ninth Circuits; United States District Courts: Southern District of New York, Northern and Central Districts of California; States of California and New York

EDUCATION

University of California, Hastings College of the Law (J.D., 2009);
Yassar College (B.A., 2004).



HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Boardman is a partner in the firm's New York office and represents plaintiffs in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re Mexican Government Bonds Antitrust Litigation*. Mr. Boardman recently represented plaintiffs in *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corp.*, a case regarding anticompetitive manipulation of the ISDAfix benchmark rate by large Wall Street banks.

At his prior firm, Mr. Boardman was a member of the trial team in *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*. For his work on that case, Mr. Boardman was nominated by Consumer Attorneys of California as a **finalist for Consumer Attorney of the Year**. Mr. Boardman was also an instrumental part of the lead counsel team in *In re Potash Antitrust Litigation (II)*, a case that featured a unanimous victory before an en banc panel of the Seventh Circuit, resulting in one of the most influential antitrust appellate opinions in recent memory. Mr. Boardman also represented student-athletes in landmark antitrust litigation against the NCAA: *In re NCAA Athletic Grant-In-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation* and *In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Likeness Litigation*.

Mr. Boardman co-authored the following articles: *Reverse Engineering Your Antitrust Case: Plan for Trial Even Before You File Your Case*, *Antitrust Magazine*, Spring 2014, Vol. 28, No. 2, with Bruce L. Simon; and *Class Action for Health Professionals*, chapter from *Advocacy Strategies for Health and Mental Health Professionals*, Springer Publishing Co., 2011, with Bruce L. Simon, Stuart L. Lustig, Editor.

Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Boardman worked at Pearson, Simon & Warshaw, LLP in San Francisco and served as a judicial lawclerk to the Hon. Christina Reiss in United States District Court, District of Vermont.

While attending law school, he was a member of the *Hastings Science and Technology Law Journal* and worked as a research assistant to professors Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. and Rory K. Little.

Mr. Boardman has held memberships in the ABA Antitrust Section – Model Jury Instruction Revision Task Force, ABA Antitrust Section – Young Lawyers Division – Litigation Committee, ABA Antitrust Section – Young Lawyers Division – Civil Practice and Procedure Committee, New York State Bar Association – Antitrust Section, Bar Association of San Francisco, and Public Justice Foundation.

Mr. Boardman enjoys running and can regularly be found doing laps of Prospect Park.

Scott+Scott's attorneys are currently licensed to practice in various federal and state jurisdictions throughout the United States and remain in good standing before their respective state bars for purposes of pro hac vice admission to any state or federal court. The Firm's officers, partners, principals, agents, and employees have never been disciplined, admonished, or warned by the court or had any license, registration, charter, certification, or any similar authorization to engage in the legal profession suspended or revoked for any reason.

ATTORNEY COURT ADMISSIONS

International Admissions: The Netherlands; England and Wales (including Higher Rights of Audience); Republic of Ireland; Scotland; New South Wales, Australia; Queensland, Australia; and Germany; U.S. Admissions: United States Supreme Court; United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits; United States District Courts for the Districts of California (Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Central), Colorado, Connecticut, Florida (Northern), Illinois (Northern), Massachusetts, Michigan (Eastern), Missouri (Eastern), New Jersey, New York (Southern, Eastern, and Western), Ohio (Northern and Southern), Pennsylvania (Eastern and Western), Texas (Northern, Western, and Southern), Wisconsin (Eastern and Western), and the District of Columbia; and the courts of the States of Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Texas, and the District of Columbia.

ACCOLADES

U.S. News & World Report "Best Law Firms"

The Firm is currently ranked by U.S. News & World Report as a "Best Law Firm" in commercial litigation in the New York region.

American Antitrust Institute

The 2018 Antitrust Annual Report recognized *In re Foreign Currency Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* as the #1 settlement of 2018, as well as ranking the Firm #1 nationally for aggregate settlements: 2013-2018.

Global Competition Review

At the 6th Annual Global Competition Review ("GCR") Awards, Scott+Scott won for Litigation of the Year – Cartel Prosecution, which recognized the Firm's efforts in the foreign exchange settlements in the United States, a landmark case in which major banks conspired to manipulate prices paid in the \$5.3 trillion-per-day foreign exchange market and have thus far settled for more than \$2 billion.

Law 360 Glass Ceiling Report

Scott+Scott is recognized as one of the top law firms in the nation for female attorneys by the legal publication Law360. The Glass Ceiling Report honors firms that "are demonstrating that the industry's gender diversity goals can turn into a measurable result, and boost the number of women at all levels of a law firm."¹ This selection highlights the importance Scott+Scott places on diversity and inclusion within the Firm.

Center for Constitutional Rights

Scott+Scott was the recipient of the 2010 Center for Constitutional Rights' Pro Bono Social Change Award for its representation of the Vulcan Society, an association of African-American firefighters, in challenging the racially discriminatory hiring practices of the New York City Fire Department.

¹<https://www.law360.com/articles/1310926>
<https://www.law360.com/articles/162859/the-best-law-firms-for-female-attorneys>.



